

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 AUG -8 PM 12: 27

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Lorenz CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FROM: R. Mullin Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/5/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv0927 L (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner *Rm* DEPUTY

CASE TITLE: Gonzalez-Leyton v. Chertoff, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Ex Parte Motion to Correct Docket Entries Nos 8 & 10 to Reflect...

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OTHER: <i>Documents are file stamped with the received date of 6/11/08, docket entry text has been corrected.</i>

Date forwarded: 8/5/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: M. JAMES LORENZ

Dated: 8/8/08
cc: All Parties

By: *[Signature]*

RECEIVED

REJECTED

MANUEL GONZALEZ-LEYTON¹
A73-809-412
San Diego Detention Center (CCA)
P.O. Box 439049
San Ysidro, CA 92143-9049

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(HONORABLE M. JAMES LORENZ)

MANUEL GONZALEZ-LEYTON,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL CHERTOFF, et al.,

Respondents.

Civil Action No.08cv927-L (CAB)

EX PARTE MOTION TO CORRECT DOCKET
ENTRIES NOS. 8 & 10 TO REFLECT
CORRECT DATE OF RECEIPT

Petitioner, Manuel Gonzalez-Leyton, hereby asks the Court to enter an order granting the motion listed below.

MOTION

The Petitioner, Manuel Gonzalez-Leyton, pursuant to any and all applicable statutes, case law and local rules, and this Court's order of July 15, 2008, hereby requests that the docket in the above-captioned case be corrected to reflect the *nunc pro tunc* filing of Petitioner's Renewed Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Docket No. 8) and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support (Docket No. 10) on June

¹The petitioner is filing the instant request with the assistance of Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.